1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 RONNIE LEE MOODY, No. C 09-2259 JSW (PR) ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF 13 Plaintiff, TIME 14 v. 15 OFFICER SANCHEZ, et al., (Docket No. 39 and 40) Defendants. 16 17 18 19 Defendants have filed a second motion for an extension of time in which to file a 20 motion for summary judgment. Defendants' prior motion was granted, and they were 21 given an extension of sixty days in which to file such a motion. Now Defendants request 22 23 an additional extension of four more months. Defendants are granted an additional three 24 months, to and including May 10, 2011, in which to file their motion. No further 25 extensions of time will be granted. 26 There is some confusion about the state of plaintiff's pleadings. Plaintiff filed a 27

document entitled "First Amended Complaint" on April 23, 2010, and it was docketed as an "Amended Complaint" (docket number 22). On August 31, 2010, Plaintiff filed

28

## Case 3:09-cv-02259-JSW Document 42 Filed 02/01/11 Page 2 of 3

1	document entitled "First Amended Complaint" that was docketed as the "Second
2	Amended Complaint" (docket number 28). The latter was served upon defense counsel on
3	December 14, 2010, but because it was entitled "First Amended Complaint," defense
4	counsel believes that he was not served with the "Second Amended Complaint." There is
5	no document entitled "Second Amended Complaint." Rather, the operative pleading in
6	this case is the document filed on August 31, 2010 entitled "First Amended Complaint"
7	but docketed as "Second Amended Complaint" (docket number 28), and this is the
8	pleading upon which defendants should base their motion.
9	Plaintiff's opposition is due within 30 days of the date any dispositive motion is
10	filed, and Defendants <b>shall</b> file a reply brief within <b>15 days</b> of the date any opposition is
11	filed. All other provisions of the Order of September 29, 2010, not inconsistent with this
12	order remain in effect.
13	This order terminates docket numbers 39 and 40.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 1, 2011

JEFFREY S. WHITE United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
RONNIE LEE MOODY, Case Number: CV09-02259 JSW
Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
V.
SALAZAR et al,
Defendant.
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S.
District Court, Northern District of California.
That on February 1, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter
listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
and care was a second of the care of the c
Ronnie Lee Moody Prisoner Id T54036 25 Marist Court
San Francisco, CA 94124
Dated: February 1, 2011  Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
Dated: February 1, 2011  Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk